## BARGAIN AND SALE DEED

Y害AKNY SIMPSON and PRHRICIA J. SIMPSON, husband and wife,


fintuter oregon described as:
Beginning at a Brass Monument marking the West 1/4 corner of section 32, Township 18 South, Range 1 Hest of the Willamette Meridian in Lane County. Oregon s mun thence North $89^{\circ} 4^{\prime \prime} 08^{\prime \prime}$ East 2650.32 feat to a $11 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ Iron pipe sat as center of said section 32 In Survey No. 13068 Lane County Oregon Survey Records; thence North $0^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 15^{\circ}$ East 420.00 feet: thence south $84^{\circ} 4^{\prime \prime} 08^{\prime \prime}$ fest 2469.83 feet to a point on the Hest In e of said section 32. from which point a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ Iron rod bears North $89^{\circ} 4^{\circ} 08^{\prime \prime}$ East 34.25 feet thence. South 0 .09'17" Fest 420.00 fact to the point of beginning.

The true aria actual consideration for this transfer

1. nona.

The foregoing recital of consideration is truss aft $i$
verily balleva.
DNMED this $\qquad$ day of March, 1973.


## Exhibit I

## AFFIDAVIT

| STATE OF OREGON | ) |
| :--- | :--- |
| County of Lane |  |

Before me this day personally appeared Jerry Dilley, who, first being duly sworn, deposes and says:

1. I am a life-long friend of Gary and Maxine Marlow and Kearney and Patricia Simpson (hereinafter referred to as "Marlow and Simpson"). I have reviewed the statements and information contained in the narrative filed by Marlow and Simpson in support of their Ballot Measure 37 claim regarding Tax Lots 1000, 1001, 1003 and 1005 of Lane County Assessor's Map 18-01-32-00 (hereinafter referred to as "the subject property") and I agree with all of the statements and information contained therein.
2. I know that on March 25, 1963, Marlow and Simpson purchased the subject property from Jennie Kann. I was not a party to that transaction and I did not provide any consideration for the Marlow and Simpson purchase of the subject property.
3. Following their purchase of the subject property, Marlow and Simpson requested that I hold an interest in Tax Lot 1003 of the subject property for their benefit. They had received advice to place two of the four parcels that constituted the subject property in the names of trusted individuals to prevent a merger of the four parcels into one parcel by subsequent government action or operation of law. I agreed to assist them and, on March 27, 1973, received a Bargain and Sale Deed from them for that purpose. At that time I executed a Bargain and Sale Deed and delivered it to Marlow and Simpson for immediate filing in the event of an emergency involving me. A copy of that deed is attached to the Marlow and Simpson narrative in support of their claim. It is the intent of the parties that I will convey the legal interest in Tax Lot 1003 to Marlow and Simpson immediately upon their request.
4. I have paid no consideration to Marlow and Simpson for the receipt of my interest in Tax Lot 1003 of the subject property. I did not receive the beneficial interest in the property under the Bargain and Sale Deed; the beneficial interest in Tax Lot 1003 has remained with Marlow and Simpson since the date they purchased the subject property from Jennie Kann.
5. At no time have I considered my ownership of Tax Lot 1003 as anything more than merely holding an ownership interest in it for the benefit of Marlow and

Simpson. At no time have I considered the Marlow and Simpson transfer of interest in Tax Lot 1003 to me as a gratuitous transfer by Marlow and Simpson that included the beneficial interest in that property.
6. During the period of my legal ownership of Tax Lot 1003 I have paid no expenses, including property taxes, related to Ta y Lot 1003.

Personally appeared the above-named Jerry Wiley, being duly sworn, who signed this affidavit in my presence as his voluntary act and deed.

Before me this Ind day of March, 2006.

OFPCAL SEAL


Notary Public for Oregon
28,2009

## AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF OREGON )
County of Lane

Before me this day personally appeared Thomas U. Marlow, who, first being duly sworn, deposes and says:

1. I am the brother of Gary Marlow and Patricia Simpson. I have reviewed the statements and information contained in the narrative filed by Gary and Maxine Marlow and Kearney and Patricia Simpson (hereinafter referred to as "Marlow and Simpson") in support of their Ballot Measure 37 claim regarding Tax Lots 1000, 1001, 1003 and 1005 of Lane County Assessor's Map 18-01-32-00 (hereinafter referred to as "the subject property") and I agree with all of the statements and information contained therein.
2. I know that on March 25, 1963, Marlow and Simpson purchased the subject property from Jennie Kann. I was not a party to that transaction and I did not provide any consideration for the Marlow and Simpson purchase of the subject property.
3. Following their purchase of the subject property, Marlow and Simpson requested that I hold an interest in Tax Lot 1005 of the subject property for their benefit. They had received advice to place two of the four parcels that constituted the subject property in the names of trusted individuals to prevent a merger of the four parcels into one parcel by subsequent government action or operation of law. I agreed to assist them and, in April of 1964, entered into an unrecorded land sale contract with them for that purpose. My equitable interest was converted to a legal interest by Bargain and Sale Deed on March 3, 1982. At that time I executed a Bargain and Sale Deed and delivered it to Marlow and Simpson for immediate filing in the event of an emergency involving me. A copy of that deed is attached to the Marlow and Simpson narrative in support of their claim. It is the intent of the parties that I will convey the legal interest in Tax Lot 1005 to Marlow and Simpson immediately upon their request.
4. I have paid no consideration to Marlow and Simpson for the receipt of my interest in Tax Lot 1005 of the subject property. I did not receive the beneficial interest in the property under the land sale contract or the Bargain and Sale Deed; the beneficial interest in Tax Lot 1005 has remained with Marlow and Simpson since the date they purchased the subject property from Jennie Kann.
5. At no time have I considered my ownership of Tax Lot 1005 as anything more than merely holding an ownership interest in it for the benefit of Marlow and Simpson. At no time have I considered the Barlow and Simpson transfer of interest in Tax Lot 1005 as a gratuitous transfer by Carlow and Simpson that included the beneficial interest in that property.
6. During the period of my legal ownership of Tax Lot 1005 I have paid no expenses, including property taxes, related to Tax Lot 1005.
thomas l. Harlow
Thomas U. Barlow
Personally appeared the above-named Thomas U. Marlow, being duly sworn, who signed this affidavit in my presence as his voluntary act and deed.

Before me this 28 day of february 2006.

OFFICIAL SEAL

H: K H W P1'K
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# A COMPREHENSIVE LAND USE PLAN for 

## THE LOWER MIDDLE FORK SUBAREA

Lane County, Oregon<br>Adopted November, 1974

LANE COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT, DIVISION OF PLANNING


WHEREAS, the Board of County Comalssioners has received from the Lane County Planning Comission a resolution dated September 24, 1974, of record herein, recomending approval of the plan known as the. Lower Middle Fork Subarea Plan; and

WHRRRAS, the Board of County Comissioners has recefved public testimony on the Lower Middle Fork Subarea Plan; and
 hearing and other duties in accordance with applicable law.

THEREFORE, IT IS HKREBY RESOLVED AND ORDERED that. the "Lower Middle Fork Subarea Plan, Third Draft, dated October, 1974", (copy attached hereto and indicated as Appendix A) is adopted as supplemented by provisions attached as follows:

Appendix B: Revised Plan Diagram aection except for Map 9, "Plan Dlagram Map"- Chapter $\mathrm{V}_{3}$. pages 87 to 92.

> Appendix C: Amend Table 2 in Appendix B (Section $\nabla$ - The Plan DLagram) to designate the RURAL RESIDENLIAL category as having "Potential. Plan Conformity: as a secondary fland use in the RURAL, woonland and: GRAZING category.

Appendix D: Amend the "minimu parcel size" requirements of the RURAL, WOODLAND and GRAZING category in Table 1, Appendix B (Section $V$, The Plan Diagram) to add the following:

Minimum parcel sizes may be 10 acres in those areas where:

1. .The general area consists predominantiy of paircels of comparable size or smaller and the establishment: of 10-acre"parcelliso wid nơt te incompatible with". the character of the general area; and
2. Ten-acre minimum parcel sizes would not significiantly $\therefore \therefore$ a protection, conservation, use and/or harvesting of important natural resources, particularly timber, sand and gravel; and important agricultural land; and
3. Ten-acrie minimum parcel sizes would be commensurate
 with the environmental limitations of the area.

## Page 1 of 2

In the Matter of Adopting the Lower Middle Fork Subarea Plan as a Component of the County General Plan, an Element of the Comprehensive Plan for Lane County

Appendix E: Amend Footnote 1 in Table 1 in Appendix B (Section $V$, The Plan Diagram) to substitute the following language: This Table; and Key Designation Factors, are based upon technical information contained in the Technical Report, which is separate from the Plan Report, and copies of which are on file at Planning Division offices and which are available for public reference...

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED AND ORDERED that where a conflict exists between the Lower Middle Fork Subarea Plan and the EugenemSpringfield Metropolitan ohall prevall.

Adopted this' 27th day of. November , 1974.


BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF LANE COUNTY, OREGON

苂:


1

Page 2 of 2
In the Matter of Adopting the Lower Middle Fork Subarea Plan as a Component of the County General Plan, an Element of the Comprehensive Plan for Lane County


## Plan Diagram

* Plan Diagram recommendations for Dexter. Refer to the Dexter Community Plan for more detail.
** Lowell has its own city plan. Refer to the Lowell city plan for information on planning recommendations by the city.
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Ordimance No. 63
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# January 5, 2006 

Jerry Dilley
Gary and Maxine Marlow
Thomas Marlow
Kearney and Patricia Simpson
Number Not Assigned Place Road, Fall Creek, Oregon 97438
c/o Steve Cornacchia
Hershner Hunter
180 East $11^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, Eugene, Oregon 97401
RE: Lane Code 2.740 (6)
Steve,
For your files, here is the Ballot Measure 37 summary for the above owner's properties located at Number Not Assigned Place Road, Fall Creek, Oregon 97438. See the report cover page following this synopsis letter for an outline of the various tax lots and ownerships; see also the Land Use Regulation reports prepared by James Mann, LLC, for the three separate ownership parcels and his findings regarding Ballot Measure 37 as it pertains to these properties.

These six restricted appraisal reports of complete appraisals for the subject properties are restricted to knowledgeable users, namely yourself and your clients, with acknowledgment a Lane County claims decision committee will be a reader of these reports.

The reports are organized to provide opinions of value both for "before" and "after" the Ballot Measure 37 claims process. The "before" values are for the entire tax lots noted primarily "as is" however with the extraordinary assumption that a single buildable homesite can be obtained on each of the three tax lots even under current Exclusive Farm Use zoning. Obtaining such a homesite use would involve a conditional or special use permit for each parcel; this event does not appear to be unreasonable considering the James Mann findings of no or very limited restrictions on having such homesites when the properties were purchased by the various owners.

The actual buildability of each of the "as is" parcels, and of the hypothetical one acre parcels I have been asked to postulate for Ballot Measure 37 purposes, may be affected by one or more of the following physical factors: so far as the appraiser has been made aware, there is presently no well installed and no septic system approval on any of the three parcels. However, soils appear to allow good septic system possibilities with the likelihood sand filter systems will be required because of the various flood zones affecting the various parcels. There are numerous good wells in the area which is in the creek bottom of Fall Creek. However, the possibility of a community water system may need to be explored following Lane County approval of this Measure 37 claim if approval is gained for a number of one acre sites in any specific location. Page one of two

Dilly, Barlow, Barlow and Simpson
Number Not Assigned Place Road, Fall Creek, Oregon 97438
coo Steve Cornacchia
Page two of two

In my opinion, Tax Lot 1000 has few development restrictions for one acre parcels however the parcel appears to be mostly in a Zone AE flood plain, requiring elevated house foundations. Tax Lot 1005 is in Zone AE, Zone X ( 500 year) and Zone FW entirely and it is my opinion that roadways, parcel partitions and homesite placement will be substantially affected by the various flood areas. A survey or resurvey may be necessary to establish the correct east lines of Tax Lots 1005 and 1003 as this line is in dispute with a neighbor, per Mr. Simpson, and this line may affect creek access or frontage for various postulated sites. Tax Lot 1003 is divided by Tax Lot 1001, a 4.09 acre unimproved site, and both Tax Lot 1001 and 1003 appear to be under ownership of Jerry Dilley with possibly the Simpsons having a constructive interest.

Outwardly, about 65 acres appears to be partitionable under the Ballot Measure 37 scenario. In my opinion, Tax Lots 1000 and 1001 are likely mostly partitionable under this scenario while the easterly portions of Tax Lots 1005 and 1003 are likely to have floodway setback issues which may require elevation surveys and careful planning to resolve in favor of an optimum use.

Should the Ballot Measure 37 claim be fully approved by Lane County subject to physical limitations for floodways, setbacks, viable wells and septic system approvals, it is my opinion, hypothetically speaking, that Tax Lot 1000 could have 15 or more one acre sites available out of 23.37 acres total; Tax Lot 1005 could have 10 or more one acre sites available out of 17.70 acres total; and Tax Lot 1001 and 1003 could have 15 or more one acre sites available out of 24.13 acres total. The assumptions in this paragraph are affected by the appraiser's observation that the far easterly portions of Tax Lots 1005 and 1003 are likely to have considerable setback from the floodway creek margin and that perhaps the highest and best use of the creek margin setback area, however wide that may be, could well be for a common area park within a riparian conservation or preservation easement or newly created parcel of about 5 to 15 acres more or less out of those two tax lots.

Therefore it is postulated:
"Before scenario values", assuming one buildable site per present tax lot: Tax Lots 1000-\$160,000; Tax Lot 1005-\$135,000; Tax Lots 101 and 1003 - \$150,000; total $\$ 445,000$, say $\$ 450,000$ even..
"After scenario values" with assumptions outlined above and in the specific reports: A total of 40 one acre hypothetical buildable sites at $\$ 100,000$ each - $\$ 4,000,000$; plus the residual 25 acres of unbuildable land for roadways, setbacks, buffer zones, riparian zones and possible other common area uses given a base unbuildable farmland value of $\$ 2,000$ per net acre for $\$ 50,000$; total $\$ 4,050,000$, say $\$ 4,000,000$ even.

The postulated, hypothetical dollar difference of $\$ 3,550,000$ can be attributed to the creation of 40 buildable one acre sites from previously undeveloped acreage.

Please contact me if you have any questions. Cordially,
Craig E. McKern

$\qquad$

## Three Restricted Appraisal Reports of Complete Appraisals of

Vacant Acreages located at
Number Not Assigned Place Road
Fall Creek, Oregon 97438
Tax Lot 18-01-32-00-01000
(as is" with 23.37 acres, a "before" scenario
Owners of Record: Gary and Maxine Mariow and Kearney and Patricia Simpson file 092105A and
A Hypothetical one acre portion of Tax Lot 18-01-32-00-01000 with one hypothetical acre only, an "after" scenario file 092105B

AND
Tax Lot 18-01-32-00-01005
("as is" with 17.70 acres, a "before" scenario)
Owner of Record: Thomas Marlow
file 092605C and
A Hypothetical one acre portion of Tax Lot 18-01-32-00-01005 with one hypothetical acre only, an "after" scenario File 092105D

AND
Tax Lots 18-01-32-00-01001 and 01003, combined
("as is" with 24.13 acres, a "before" scenario
Owner of Record: Jerry Dilley
File 092105E and
A Hypothetical one acre portion of Tax Lots 18-01-32-00-01001 and 01003, combined with one hypothetical acre only, an "after" scenario File 092105F

Clients:
Dilley, Jerry; Marlow, Gary and Maxine; Marlow, Thomas; Simpson, Kearney and Patricia
c/o Steve Comacchia, attomey
Hershner Hunter
180 East 11th Avenue
Eugene, Oregon 97401
Purpose of the Appraisal:
To estimate market value of actual and hypothetical parcels subject to certain conditions outlined in the text and exhibits of the reports 092105A through F

## Use of the Appraisal:

For use in a State Measure 37 proceeding brought by the owners and their attomey in Lane County, Oregon
Dale of Most Recent Inspection
and Effective Date of Reports:
October 10, 2005
Reports Completion Date:
January 3, 2006
Prepared by:
Craig E. McKern, Appraiser, P.C.
Certified Residential Real Estate Appraiser
1574 Coburg Road, PMB 397
Eugene, Oregon 97401-4802
files 092105A through F

Tax Lot 1000 photos
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
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Place Road looking north from north acceas road shown on aerial photo


Place Road looking south from same point as photo above, Tax Lol 1002, not included in this Measure 37 claim, is center left and Tax Lot 1003, west portion, is the overgrown Christmas tree line in left distance


Main access road looking east from Place Road,
Tax Lot 100 and 1002 property tine is
approximately at tree line in center of photo, running east and west



Main access road looking east along north property line area; actual line ts lef of tree tine


Far east end of Tax Lot 1000 looking west: this area has been used as a ruwway for light plane in the past


Fall Creek looking southwest from Jasper Lowell Road toward bend shown on plat and aerial photos; left bank is on Tax Lot 801 and not part of Tax Lot 1000

## Borrower No bornower

Property Adtrass Number. Not Assigned Pace Road // Tax Lot 18-01-32-00-01000

City Fall Croek
County Lane
State OR. Zip Code 97438
Legal Description Lengthy Metes and Bounds Legal Descriptionillisee praliminary litie report or deed attached
 Actual Real Estate Taxes $\$ 637.25$ ( y ) Loan charges to te pald by setler $\$ \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A} \quad$ Other sales concessions Not Applicable
Lender/Client Marlow and Simpson c/o Steve Cornacchla, _- Address attorney, 180 Eas 11 th Avenue, Eugene, Oregon 97401 Occupant vacant land Appralser Craig E. McKern $\qquad$ mins allomey, 100 East 11 in Ayenug L: ugene, Oregon $9740!$.. Craig E. McKern, Appraiser. P.C. 1574 Coburg Road, PMB 397, Eugene, Oregon 97401 telephone 541-345-0744 fax 541-345-0577


Comments incluoing those faclors, tavorable or undaworable, atiecting marketabitiy (e.g. public parks. schools, view, noise): Land use parcentages approximate, to show vanety of uses only. Dedicated tamfforest lands not included in vacant/developable land percentage. Subject is localed in lower Fall Creek area about 8 air miles southeast of Springfield city limits, ctose to Jasper County Park, Willamette River, lakes. Commute time to Eugene-Springfield. metro area 15 to 25 minutes; access to Highway 58 aboul 10 minutes. Wide variely of improvements, site sizes, view aspects, values.

| Dimensions Irregular, see plat map Zoning chassification EFU Exclusive Farm Use ( 40 acre min. for new sites) |  | 23.37 Sq. FL or Acres |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | - \| do do do mot contorm io |
| Highest and best use ! T Present use X Other (specily Subject is a legal lot of record platted, improved prior to present Efu zoning circa 1984. |  |  |
| Pubic Other (Describe) | ITE WPPROVEMENTS | Topo Level to slight |
| Elec. $\mathrm{X}^{\text {a }}$ | acess X Publc | Size Larger than typ |
|  | Asphalt | Shape Imegular |
| Ier none to date | Maintenance X Pubic L.] Pivate | View Wooded, hil |
| San Sewer :- Assm permit | [] Storm Sawer [ $]$ Curb/G | Orainage Normally adequate FEMA map 41039 |
|  | Sidewask. .-.-.- Sroue | Is the property located in a foud identified Special Fiood Hazard Area? |

Cornmens (tavorable or untavorabte inctuoling any apparent aiverse easements, ancroachments, or other atverse conditions): Tax Lol 1000 extends from Place Road east. to Tax Lot 1005 with open pasture area and overgrown Christmas trees, fringe maples, cottomwoods and underbrush along north boundary:. Cleared roadway has been used for a light aircraft airstrip; older small plywood hangar is only improvement. Level, sandy loam (Newberg Cls llw ) \& mixed loam, sand \& gravel (Camas Cis IVw) soils; Tax Lot 1000 has nol been flooded per owner since Fall Creek Dam was constructed. The undersiopred has reciled tree recent sles of moperties most semilar and proximnate to subject and ars considered these in the makket andysis. The descripion inctudes a dollas

 lavorable than the subject property, a phus ( + ) adiustment is made thus increasing the indicated value of the subject.


Comments on Market Data: Extremely limited recent market dala available for parcels similar to subject in the Fall Creek or competitive market areas around the Eugene-Springfield market area. A number of active, pending and sold properties were reviewed and the above two indicators selected as the only two reasonable indicators useful for acreage and location comparison. A second parcel similar to and nexl to Sale 1 ...: Comments and Conditions of Appraisal: *- was reported sold for $\$ 145,000$ for 26.19 acres however this slte included past industrial uses and old. structures. Sale 1 has limited level land with mostly rolling hillside between Union Pacific Railroad right-of-way and Lost Creek with Highway 58 . about $1 / 4$ mile north. Indcalor 2 Is an EFU 30 zoned sile between Malhews Road and Highway 58 , considerably closer in and with an asking price reflecting a possible but to date unproven right to construct a dwelling. Subject likewise "as is" has only "possible" dwelling righls
Final Reconcilition: The market data (sale comparison) approach is the only reliable method of estimating vacant or non-residential site value. The cost approach is omilled as there are no improvements on this existing or hypothetical site. The income approach does nol normal!y apply. to vacant land.
I EStimate the mahket value, as defineo, of suaject propenty as of
Octaber 10, 2005
to be \$ 160,000
The opinion of value stated is within a range of indicated values. Value opinion is provided for comparison purposes in a Measure 37 proceeding only and only an artyal open market exposure test can be considered to prove akrue market value.
Craig E. McKern Appraiser(s)

Tax Lot 1005 photos

| Bermemerimi No bortower |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Cly Frem Creok | count Lane | Sther | Tocote 97438 |



Diesel of tark still in active use and located on Tax Lot 1005 east of Tax Lot 1000 , soulth portion


Low drainege and former creek side channe: area in Tax Lot 1005


Typical higher ground in Tax Lot 1005 which is partially flood mapped by FEMA as Zone AE and Zone $X$ (within 500 year flood plain and with areas within the $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ year flood plain

Actuall higher ground buildable areas within Tax Lot 1005 must be determined by on site elevation surveys

Majority of Tax Lot 1005 is brush and tree covered with no recent agricuttural use noted

Restricted Appralsal fieport
Borower No borrower
Property Address Number Not Assigned Piace Road // Tax Lot 18-01-32-00-0100 City Fall Creek

County Lane $\qquad$
Census Tract 41-39-16 _ Map Relerence Pittmon County. 55

Legal Description Lengthy Metes and Bounds Legal Descriptionllilsee pretiminary titte report or deed attached
 Actual Real Eslate Taxes $\$ 22.02$ (vy) Loan charges to be paid by setier $\$ \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A} \quad$ Other sales concessions Not Applicable $\qquad$
Lender/Clienl Marlow, Thomas clo Steve Cornacchia,
Aoderss aftomey, 180 East 11th Avenue, Eugene, Oregon 97401
Occupan vacant land $\qquad$ Appriser Craig E. McKem $\qquad$ Instructions to Appratser appraise to market value per USPAP slandarods
Craig E. McKern. Appraiser, P.C. 1574 Coburg Road, PMB 397, Eugene, Oregon 97401 telephone 541-345-0744 fax 541-345-0577
 New yrs. wo $100+$ yrs. Predominant Age None yrs.

Employment Statillty Corverience to Employment Converience to Shopping Converience to Schoots Adequacy of Pubicic Transportation Adequacy of Ulithties Property Compatibilly Prolection from Detrimental Conditions General Appearance of Properties Appeal to Market

Comments inctuding those factors, lavorable or undavorable, alfecting marketability (e.g. pubtic parks, schoots, vew, noise): Land use percentages approximale, to show variety of uses only. Dedicated farmuforest lands nol included in vacanUdevelopable land percentage. Subject is located in lower Fall Creek area about 8 air miles southeast of Springfield city timits, close to Jasper County Park, Willamette River, lakes. Commule time to Eugene-Springfield metro area 15 to 25 minutes; access to Highway 58 about to minutes. Wide variety of improvements, site sizes, view aspects, values.

Zoning classification. EFU Exclusive Farm Use ( 40 acre min. for new sites) Present knprovements I. Id W do not conform to zoning regulations Highest and best use I"I Present use $X$ Other (specity) Subject is a legal lot of record platted, unimproved prior to present EFU zoning circa 1984
 Comments (tavorable or untavarable inctuding any appanent adverse easements, encraactuments, or other avverse condifions): Tax Lot 1005 borders $T . L$. 1000 on west, $T$. L... 1003 on south, T.L 801 on north). Fringe maples, cottonwoods and undertrush with several open grassy clearings. Tax Lol 1005 is flooded . mainly in periods of heavy winter rainfall in the low drainageways or creek channels. Depth from surface to winter water table may restrict septic system and homesite placement. Accoss presently through T.L. 1000 via private rosd, alternale access through T.L.s 1003, 1001. See aerial.


 tavorable than the subject property, a phus ( + ) adjustrnent is made thws increasing the inticated vatue of the subject.


Comments on Market Data: Extremely limited recent market data available for parcels similar to subject in the Fall Creek or competitive market? areas around the Eugene-Springfietd markel area. A number of acive, pending and sold properties were reviewed and the above two indicators selected as the only two reasonable indicators useful for acreage and location comparison. A second parcel similar to and next to Sale 1 :... Comments and Conditions of Appraisal: *" was reported sold for $\$ 145,000$ for 26.19 acres however this site included past indusitial uses and ond structures. Sale 1 has limited level fand with mosily rolling hillside between Union Pacific Railroad right-of-way and Lost Creek with Highway 58 about $1 / 4$ mile north. Indicator 2 is an EFU 30 zoned site between Mathews Road and Highway 58, considerably closer in and with an asking price reflecting a possible bult to date unproven right to construct a dwelling. Subject likewise "as is" has only "possible" dwelling rights. Final Reconciiation: The market data (sale comparison) approach is the only reliable method of estimating vacant or non-residential site value. The cost approach is omitted as there are no improvements on this existing or hypothetical site. The income approach does nol normally apply to vacant land. One buildable homesite is assumed in making com $\qquad$ Octoter 102005 $\qquad$ to be $\$ 135,000$

[^0]Craig E. McKern: : Did Not Physicaly Inspect Property


Commends inctuding those factors，tavorable or unfavorabte，atiecting marketability（e．g．pubic parks，schook，view，nolse）：Land use percentages approximate，to show variety of uses only．Dedicated farm／forest lands not included in vacant／developable land percentage．Subject is localed in lower Fall Creek area about 8 air miles southeast of Springfield city limits，close to Jasper County Park，Willamette River，lakes．Commute time to Eugene－Springrietd． metro area 15 to 25 minutes；access to Highway 58 about 10 minutes．Wide variely of improvements，site sizes，view aspects，values． Dimensions $208^{\prime} \times 208^{\prime}$ more or leas 43，264．Sq．Ft．or Acres

1．］Corner Lot
Zoning classification EFU Exclusive Farm Use（ 40 acre min．for new sites）Present Improvernents［］do 区 do nol conform to zoning regulations Highest and best use $\Gamma$ ］Present use $X$ Other（specify）potential to allow one single family residence under present Measure 37 procedures．

| Elec | Publit | Other（Describe） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gas |  |  |
| Water |  | none to date |
| San．Sewer | ！ | Assm permit | Stret Access［－］Public X Private Topo Level（assumed） Smaller than typical Surtace All Weather Gravel Shape Square Mamenance［］Public 区 Private View Wooded，hills，creek access San．Sewer i Assm permit $\quad$ II Stom Sewer 1.1 Curt／Gutter Orinage Normally adequate FEMA map 41039 C 1680 F ZOnes X．AE．FW

 parcel out of Tax Lol 1005 for Measure 37 claim purposes only and is not a legal tot of record or a buildable site at this time；permitted dwelling． site assumed for purposes of Measure 37 comparison．A viable well and sand filter septic system pemit are also assumed．Road access is good on existing roadway．Postulated dwelling sites are mainly on west half of Tax Lot 1005 in Zone $X$ and AE flood plains；out of PW zone．

 to or more savorable than the sublect propety，a minus（ $)$ ）adjustrient is made thus reducing the incurcated value of subfect；it a significant item in the comparable is inferiox to or less lavorable than the subject property，a phus（ + ）adipstment is made thus increasing the indicated vaiue of the subject．


Comments on Market Data：Exiremely limited recent market data avallable for parcels similar to subject in the Fall Creek or competitive market．．．．．． areas around the Eugene－Springfietd market area．A number of active，pending and sold properties were reviewed prior to seleclion of these three indicators；Indicators 1 and 2 are active listings；Indicator 1 on market since 11－09－2005，previous old home removed，site logged and ．．． Comments and Conditions of Appraisal：＊＊cleared，long namow sloping acreage with limited view to north，older well，septic and road installed；appears to be a high indicator at the asking price．Indicator 2 is a narrow strip between Jasper－Lowell Road and Fall Creek with very limited building site area，listing Reallor notes 400＇of creek and road frontage；on market since 08－15－2005．Both Indicators， 1 and 2 are in Lowell School District． like subject．Sale 3 is closer to metro area，in Pleasant Hill School District，is in $X 5$ flood plain，was on market 53 days，sold as＂ready 10 buik＂＂． Final Reconcilition：The market data（sale comparison）approach is the only reliable method of estimating vacant or non－residential site value． The cost approach is omitted as there are no improvements on this hypothetical site．The fncome approach does not normally apply to vacant land．Note：adjustment for wellseptic is made al $\$ 5,000$ for well，$\$ 10,000$ for sand filter seplic system；land adjustment at $\$ 15,000$ per net acre： i estimate the manket vilue，as defined，of subject property as of October 10， 2005 $\qquad$ to be \＄ 100,000 The opinion of value stated is within a range of indicated values．Value opinion is provided for comparison purposes in a Measure 37 proceeding only and only an acyupl open market exposure test can be considered to proye a truémarket value．
 Appraiser（s）



General view of brushy ares in east portion of Tex Lot 1003 near Fall Creek channel


Looking south from near center of west portion of Tax Lot 1003, field beyond brush line is in Tax Lot 1501


General view of east portion of Tax Lot 1003 looking east toward Fall Creek channel, about where fir tree on horizon is and north of property line with Tax Lot 1501

State OR
In Code 97438
Legal Descripion Lengthy Metes and Bounds Legal Descriptionillisee pretiminary titte report or deed attached
 Actual Real Estate Taxes $\$ 637.25$ (yi) Loan charges to be paid by selier $\$$ N/A Othas sales concessions Not Applicable lenda/Client Dilley and Simpson clo Steve Comacchia, Address attormey, 180 East 11th Avenue, Eugene, Oregon 97401 Occupant vacant land Appraiser Craig E. McKerm $\qquad$ Instructions to 1 . Craig E. McKom, Appraiser. P.C. 1574 Coburg Road, PMB 397, Eugene, Oregon 97401 telephone 541-345-0744 fax 541-345-0577 Location Buit Up Growth Rade
[.] Fully Dev Propenty Vadues Demand/Supply Marketing IIme Present Land USE

80\% 1 Famil $\qquad$
C. Uban
Over 75\%
$X$ Suburtan
$725 \%$ to $75 \%$
$=7$
[] Under 25\% Slow L] Decining
[] Oversupphy
$\searrow$ Stabla $X \ln$ Balance
X 4.6 Mos. []] Over 6 Mos.
1\% Apts.
$\qquad$ _1\% Commercial Change in Present Land Use $\square$ Not Likely $\quad \square$ Litely ( ${ }^{*}$ )

> (*) From vacant/undeveloped developed
$\qquad$ y, public.

Predominant Occupancy
Single Fanity Price Range
Single famity Age

X Owner
\$ 100 to $\$ 700+$
New yrs. to $100+$ yrs. Predominant Age

Employment Stabtity Converience to Employment Convenience to Shopping Convenience to Schools Adequacy of Pubulc Transportation Recrealional Facilities Adequacy of Uitilities Property Compathlity Protection from Detrimental Conditions Police and Fire Protection General Appearance of Properties Appeal to Market


Comments inciuding those lactors, lavorable or unlavorabie, atiecting martuetabitity (e.g. public parks, schoois, view, noise): Land use percentages approximate, to show variety of uses only. Dedicated famp/forest lands not included in vacant/developable land percentage. Subject is located in lower Fall Creek area about 8 air miles southeast of Springlield city limits, close to Jasper Counly Park, Willamette River, lakes. Commute time to Eugene-Springfield metro area 15 to 25 minutes; access to Highway 58 aboul 10 minutes. Wide variety of improvements, site sizes, view aspects, values.
Dimenskons Irregular, see plat map
24.13 Sq. PL or Acres
[] Comer Lot

Zoning classification EFU Exclusive Farm Use ( 40 acre min. for new sites) Present mprovernents [I] do do nol conform 10 zoning regulations Highest and best use [] Presert use X Other (specity) Subject is a legal lot of recond platted, unimproved prior to present EFU zoning circa 1984 |  | Public | Other (Describe) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elec. |  |  |
| Gas |  |  |
| Water |  |  |
| San. Sewer |  |  |
|  |  | Assme to date |
|  |  |  |

 Topo Moslly level to slight Size Larger than typical for area plat Shape Mostyy Rectangular Anderground Elect. \& T $5 \%$ Vacant ments (tworable or untavorble hadring ary apperent adverse easements, eneroachnems, or othe adverse conditions): Tax Lots 1001 and 1003 extend from Place
Road eas! to possible creek frontage (subject to sumey). Sandy loam (Newberg Cis Itw) \& mixed loam, sand \& gravel (Camas Cls Nw) soils;
west end of TL 1001, 1003 has not been flooded per owner since Fall Creek Dam was constructed, per owner. Site is mosily $428^{\prime} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{l}$ wide and
2,650 feet m/ deep (less TL 1004) from Place Road to near Fall Creek margin (see aerial photos, plat map, site pholos).
 afussment refecting maket reaction to those items of sigiticant varation between the sublect and comparable properties. It a significant tem in the comprable property is superion
 lavorable than the stibject property, a plus ( + ) adjustment is made thus hicreasing the troficated value of the subject.


Comments on Market Dala: Extremely limited recent market data available for parcels similar to sublect in the Fall Creek or competitive market .areas around the Eugene-Springfield market area. A number of active, pending and sold properties were reviewed and the above two indicators selected as the only two reasonable indicators useful for acreage and location comparison. A second parcel similar to and next to Sale 1 … Comments and Condilions of Appraisal: *oe was reported sotd for $\$ 145,000$ for 26.19 acres however this site included past industrial uses and old struclures. Sale 1 has limited level land with mostly rolling hillside between Union Pacific Railroad right-of-way and Lost Creek with Highway 58 about $1 / 4$ mile north. Indicator 2 is an EFU 30 zoned site between Mathews Road and Highway 58, considerably closer in and with an asking price reflecting a possible but to date unproven right to construct a dwelling. Subject likewise "as is" has only "possible" dwelling rights. Final Reconcilition: The market data approach, based on the sales of reasonably similar properties, is judged the more reliable indicator of value Support is from the cost approach. The income approach is not considered applicable to owner-occupied single family residences. The stated. opinion of value is within a narrow range of adjusted, indicated values. Appraised value assumes 1 buildable homesite for comparison purposes i ESTMMATE THE MARKET VALUE, AS defined, of sueject property as of $\qquad$ October 10, 2005 $\qquad$ to be \$ 150,000
The opinion of value stated is within a range of indicated values. Value opinion is provided for comparison purposes in a Measure 37 proceeding only and only an actyal open market exposure test can be considered to prove atrue market value
Craig E. McKern Apraiser(s) $Z$ R 11 Did Not Physically Inspect Property

Restricted Appralsal Roport
Borower № borrower
Property Adocress Numb
City Fall Creek
Number Not Assigned Place Road I/ egal Dess
Couny Lane

County Lane
-32-00-01001 Legai Oescritpion Hypothetical Meles and Bounds Descriplion unpartitioned porion of Tax Lol 18-01-32-00-01005 Sale Picte S Not Sold Dale of Sale N/A Loan Tam. N/A ned por TaxLor 18-01-3200-010 Actual Real Estate Taxes $\$$ TBA - (yr) Loan charges lo be paid by setler $\$$ N/A._OMher sales concessions Nof Applicable tenderclient Dilley and Simpson o/o Sleve Cornacchia, Address altorney, 180 East 11th Avenue, Eugene, Oregon 97401 Occupant vacant land $\qquad$ Appristr Craig E. McKem
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ mstuctions to Appralse appraise to market value per USPAP standards Craig E. McKem, Appraiser, P.C. 1574 Coburg Road, PMB 397, Eugene, Oregon 97401 telephone 541-345-0744 fax 541-345-0577


# Census Tract 41-39-16_Map Reference Pittmon County 55 

 (HYPOTHETICAL one acre buildable sile) Ip Coode 97438 $\qquad$- 

$\qquad$ Corventence to Employment Convenlence io shoppoug Conventence to Schools Recreational Factitiles Adequacy of Ulibilies Property Compalibitity Police and fire Protection Appeal to Market

Comments inchuding those factors, tavorable or uniavorable, atiecting maktetabity (e.g. pubtec parts, schoots, view, noise): Land use percentages approximate, to show, variely of uses only. Dedicated farmforest lands not included in vacanUdevelopable land percentage: Subject is located in lower Fall Creek area about 8 a air miles southeast of Springfield city limits, close to Jasper County Park, Willamette River, lakes. Commute time to Eugene-Springrield metro area 15 to 25 minutes; access to Highway 58 about 10 minutes. Wide variely of improvements, sile sizes, view aspects, values. Dimensions $208^{\prime} \times 200^{\prime}$ more or less $=143,264$ Sq. F. or Acres $\quad$ | Comer Lol Zoning classificaion EFU Exclusive Farm Use (40 acre min. for new sites) Praserk improvernents $\because]$ do $x$ do not conitorm to zoning regulations Highest and best use 17.7 Present use $X$ Ohner (spectity) potential Lo allow one single famity residence under present Measure 37 procedures

 parcel out of T.L's 100181003 for Measure 37 purposes only and is not a legal lol of record or a buildable site at this time. Pemitted dwelling. site assumed for purposes of Measure 37 comparison. A viable well and sand filter septic system permit are also assumed. Road acceess.is good. Postulated dwelling site are mainly on west mo thirds of Tax Lot 1005 in Zone $X$ and AE flood plains; out of FW zone al lar east edge The undersigned has rectied three recent sales of properties most similar and prosimate to subject and has considered these in the mathet analysis. The descriplion inctudes a doiler

 Javorable than the subject property, a plus ( + ) adjusiment is made thus increasing the trdicated value of the subject.

| IEM | SUBIECT PROPERTY | COMPARABLE NO. 1 | COMPARABLE NO. 2 | COMPARABLENQ. 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Address T.L's 18-01-32-00-1001 \& 1003 One Hypothetical Acre only |  | Tax Lot 18-01-32-00-01800 <br> FaH Creek // asking \$175,000 | Tax Lot 19-01-03-00-00501 Lowelif asking \$110,000 | Tax Lot 18-02-15-00-03304 \& 06 Pleasant HilU/ asking \$165,000 |
| Proximity to Subiect |  | $1 / 4$ mile southeast | 3 air miles east | 5 air miles northwest. |
| Sales Price | 5 Not Sold | \$ 175,000 | $\$ 110,000$ | S 159.900 |
| Price | 5 | $\leqslant$ | 5 | \$ |
| Uala Spurce | Obs./Owner/County | Observation' Realtor County | Observation/ Realtor/ County | Observation/ Realtor! County |
| Date of Sate and | DESCRIPTION | DESCPIPTION | DESCRIPTION | DESCRIPT1ON |
| Time Adjustment | 10-10-2005 | Active Lisling. | Active Listing | 05-2005 (COE) _ . . ..... .... 0 |
| Location | Average plus | Average plus | Average plus | Above Average |
| Siteview | One acre/Fields Wds. | 2.86acM Woods Lly : 30.000 | 1.01adCreek Wds :-.-0 | 3,15 ac/Fields, Hills ... . - 30.000 |
| Dwelling! permitted | None/ Assumed Prmt | None/permitted : 0 | None/ Assmd Prmi. | None/ permitted ..... ......... 0 |
| Access / Driveway | Good/ no driveway | Good/ privale drive : $-5,000$ | Good/ no drive | Good/ private drive... .. .. -5,000 |
| Welli Septic | None/Assm'd Permit | Instalted/installed : $\mathbf{- 1 5 , 0 0 0}$ | None/ Installed | Installed/Installed -..... - 15.000 |
| Adverse factors | AE flood zone areas | None noted : $\quad \mathbf{5}, 000$ | FW, AE flood zone : 0 | X5 flood zone area . ........ . 0 |
| Sates of Financing Concessions | Cash to Seller assumed | Cash or Contract offered | Cash to Seller assumed | New Conv. Ln. <br> No Points, Costs |
| Nel Adj. (Total) |  | $\underline{1}+\sqrt{1}-15-55,000$ | $+X=: \$ 010,000$ | +ios- |
| monitated Vahue ol Subject |  | Net $31.4 \%$ is 120,000 | Net 9.1 \% \$ 100,000 | Net 43.8\% [S 89,900 |

Comments on Market Data: Extremely limited recent market data avvilable for parcels similar to subject in the Fall Creak or compelitive market. areas around the Eugene-Springfield market area. A number of active, pending and sold properties were reviewed prior to selection of these three indicators; Indicators 1 and 2 are active lislings; Indicator 1 on market since 11-09-2005, previous old home removed site logged and ... Comments and Conditions of Appraisa: " 3 cleared, long narrow sloping acreage with limiled view to north, older well, septic and road installed; agpears to be a high indicator at the asking price. Indicator 2 is a narrow strip between Jasper-Lowell Road and Fall Creek with very limited buibding site-
area, listing Reattor notes 400' of creek and road frontage; on market since 08-15-2005. Both indicators 1 and 2 are in Lowell School District, like subiect. Sale 3 is closer to metro area, in Pleasant Hill School Districh is in $\times 5$ flood plain, was on market 53 days, sold as "ready to build": Final Reconciiation: The market data (sale comparison) approach is the only reliable method of estimating vacant or non-residential site value. The cost approach is omited as there are no improvements on this hypothetical site. The income approach does not normalty apply to vacant land. Note: adjustment for well/septic is made at $\$ 5,000$ for well, $\$ 10.000$ for sand filter septic system; land adiustment at $\$ 15$ oopo per nel acre I ESTIMATE the market value, as defined, of subject padperty as of October 10, 2005 to be \$ 100,000
The opinion of value stated is within a range of indicated values. Value opinion is provided for comparison purposes in a Measure 37 proceeding only and only an actual open market exposure test can be considered to prove atrue market value.
 Appraiser(s)


## APPRAISAL AND REPORT IDENTIFICATION

## This appralsal conlorms to one of the following detinillons:

| Complete Appraisal | (The act or process of esilinating value, or an opinion of value, performed without invoking the Depariure fulte.) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Limiled Appraisal | (The act or process of estimating value, or an opinion of value, performed under and resilling from invoking the |
| Departure Rulo.). |  |

## This report is one ol the following types:

| Self Contained | (A written reporl prepared under Slandards Rule 2-2(a) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Summary | (A written repurt prepared under Slandards Rule 2-2(b) of a Complete or Limited Appraisal performed under STANDARO 1 ) |
| Restricted | (A written) report prepared under Slandards Aule 2-2(c) ol a Complete or Limlled Appraisal periormed under STANDARD : restricted to the stated intended use by the specified client or intended user.) |

## Comments on Standards Rule 2-3

## icernity that. 10 the best of my knowledge and beliel:

ine slatements of lact contained in this report are true and corect.
The reportad analyses, opinions, and conclusions are limited onty by the reporied assumptions and limiting conditions, and are my personal. imparial. and unhiaseris professional analyses, opirinions and conculusions.
I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and no personal interest with respect to the parties involved.
I have no bias with respect to the property that is the subieci of this report of the parties involved with this assignment
My engayement in this assignment was not contingent upon developing or reporting predetermined results.
Aty compensation lor completing thes assignment is not contingent upon the developmeit or reporting of a predefemined value or direction in value that favoss the cause nit the client the amount of the value opinion, the attainment of a slipulated resuth. of the occurrence of a subsequent event difecily reated to the intended use of this appraisad.
My analyses. opinions and conclusions were developed and this report has been prepared. in confornity with the Unilorm Standards ol Professional Appraisal Pracice I have made a personal observation of the property that is the subject of this report.
No one provided signilicant real property appraisal assistance to the person signing this certification.
I Lengithy period passed between the date of inspection and the date of complation as the anpraiser pertomed a variety of investigations on Measure 37 and its
 sor handing Measure 37 claims, as these requirements may change due to coun ordered or Logistarure passed modications. Recenlly, a Circuit Count count in Manon County netd thal Measure 37 as writhen was unconstitutional for various pulicial reasons. This nuting is being appealed Io tha State Supreme Count.

## Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification

Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-3 and 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
This appraisal report is complete on the basis of the full site inspection, not the extent of commentary attached.
The appraised value includes a realty fee as did the sale prices of the comparables, unless otherwise stated
There were directly observed toxic materials or hazardous substances in the immediate area of the subject and these are assumed to be safely stored and used according to label directions. A specific search for and recognition of toxic waste and hazardous materials such as might be found in a farm and ranch environment (a Level 1 assessment) is beyond the scope of the appraiser's training and knowledge. Common household, shop and garden chemicals and substances found in most homes and garages, including those of the reader, are assumed to exist around the site. These common toxic materials and hazardous substances include chemicals such as ferilizers, weed killers. pest poisons and sprays, bleach delergent, oils, cleansers, solvents and fuels, among other solid, liquid and paste substances. and are assumed to be used according to label directions and Federal, state and local regulations unless otherwise specifically noted in this repon

It is specifically assumed there is no toxic mold or fungus manifest on the site which would affect livability or marketability
See attached supplemental addendum.


Did : Did Not inspect Property
 Levider Marlow and Simpson clo Steve Comacchia

## Appraisal Development and Reporting Process

As per prior agreement with the client named on the cover sheet and first form page of this report. the levet of appraisal service requested is a Restricted Appraisal Report of a Complete Appraisal of the subject property plus additional reports as necessary for the purpose of the report This level of service has, in the past. been known as a "lorm appraisal" using standard FNMA or FHLMC forms to convey information to the reader. This leve of appraisal service is for the most part the same as pasl "form appraisals".
This report is a Restricled Appraisal Report which is intended to comply with the reporting requirements sel forth under Standards Rule 2-2c of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP) for a Restricted Appraisal Report. As such, it represents only limited or summary discussions of the data. reasoning and analyses used in the appraisal process to develop the appraiser's opinion of value stated elsewhere. Supporting documentation that is not provided with this report concerning the data, reasoning and analyses has been relained in the appraiser's file copy. The depth of the discussion contained in this report is specific to the needs of the client and for the intended use of this eport slated below

## Scope of Work

In preparing this report. the appraiser observed the subject site and the mprovements on October 10, 2005. Relevant information on comparable land and improved sile sales. construction costs and accrued depreciation were also gathered verified and considered.
All three approaches to value were considered; the sales comparison approach only was developed. The cost approach does not apply to unimproved land in the case of all six of the actual site and hypothelical site value reports.
A land value range was delemined by appraisal of the subject site(s) and site improvements 'as is" with present EFU-40 acre minimum zoning and for comparison purposes of the total subject site(s) and the hypothetical sites as discussed in the various reports.
The income approsch, along with rents, comparative rental data and calculated formulas and multipliers, is used ONLY IF the subject is likely to become a rental income property in the foreseeable future, which is not the case for the subject acreages al this time.

## Purpose of the Appraisal and Use of the Report:

The purpose of this appraisal report is to estimate the market value as defined on the attached certification/limiting conditions addendum included with the attached USPAP addendum.
This appraisal report is intended ONLY for the use of the client named for the purpose of establishing a fair market value by an independent third party for Measure 37 proceedings. The use of this report is restricted to the clients. Gary and Maxine Marlow, Kearney and Patricia Simpson, Thomas Marlow et al and Jerry Dilley el al as owners of the various parcels as discussed in the reports, and to Steve Cornacchia, as their attomey and agent, for this specific purpose only. Duly conslituted Lane County committees or courts for Measure 37 appeals are also expected readers of this report but Lane County is not a client of the appraiser
This report in its present configuration is not intended for any financing purpose whatsoever. Any other authorized use of this report will be stated either below or in the letter of engagement.

The appraiser is not and will not become responsible for any unauthorized use. Errors and omissions insurance is not extended to a future third party reader and the appraiser must be notified, timely and in writing, of any future transfer/assignment of this report to any party not specifically named above as an intended user.

Supplemental Certifications:
I certify that the use of this report is subject to the requirements of the Appraisal Institute relating to review by its duly authorized representatives. In addition, I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the reported analyses, opinions and conclusions were developed, and this report prepared, with conformily to the requirements of the Code of Professional Ethics and the Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice of the Appraisal Institute.


## Bonower No borrower

Census Tract 41-39-16 Map Reterence Pittmon County 55
Property Address Number Nol Assigned Place Road // Tax Lol 18-01-32-00-01000 (HYPOTHETICAL one acre buildable sile) City Fall Creek

County Lane
State OR
Zip Code 97438
Legal Descripition Hypothetical Metes and Bounds Description; unpartitioned portion of Tax Lot 18-01-32-00-01000
 Actual Real Estate Taxes $\$$ TBA (vi) Loan charges to be paid by seller $\$ \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ Other sales concessions Nol Applicable
Lende/Client Marlow and Simpson clo Steve Cornacchia,
Occupan vacant land Apprasser Craig E. McKern $\qquad$ Adrress attornoy, 180 East 11 th Avenue, Eugene, Oregon 97401 Craig E. McKern, Appraiser, P.C. 1574 Coburg Road, PMB 397, Eugene, Oregon 97401 lelephone 541-345-0744 fax 541-345-0577


Comments inclucing those factors, lavorable or unfavorable, affecting marketabitity (e.g. public parks, schools, view, nolse): Land use percenlages approximate, to show variety of uses onily. Dedicated fam/forest lands not included in vacant/developable land percentage. Sublect is located in tower Fall Creek area about 8 air miles southeast of Springield city limits, close to Jasper County Park, Willamette River, Lakes. Commute time to Eugene-Springfield metro area 15 to 25 minutes; access to Highway 58 about 10 minutes. Wide variety of improvements, site sizes, view aspects, values.

Dimensions $208^{\prime} \times 208$ more or less
$43,264 \mathrm{Sq}$. P. or Acres
[.] Comer Lot
Zoning classlication EFU Exclusive Farm Use ( 40 acre min, for new sites) Presert mprovements $I$ I do X do nol conform to zoning regulations Highest and best use In Presert use X Other (specty) potential to allow one single family residence under present Measure 37 procedures Elec Gas
Water
San. Sewer
OFF STE MPROVEMENTS Topo Level (assumed) Public Other (Describe) Street Access XI Public XI Private
Surlace Asphalt AW Gravel none to date Maintenance X Public I. I Pivate View Wooded hills


Commends (tivorabte of unfevorable including any apparem adverse easements, encroachments. or other adverse condtions): Site as appraised is a hypothetical one acre. parcel out of Tax Lot 1000 for Measure 37 claim purposes only and is not a legal tot of record or a buildable site at this time; permitted dwelling. site assumed for purposes of Measure 37 comparison. A viable well and sand filter septic system permit are also assumed. Road access is. good on existing roadway. Postulated dwelling sites are mainly on west three quarters of Tax Lot 1000 in Zone $X$ and AE flood plains.
The undersigned has recited three recent sales of properties most similar and proximate to subjeci and has considered these in the market analysis. The desciption inchdes a dothat

 lavorable than the subject propety, a plus $(+)$ adjustment is made thus increasing the indicated value of the subject


Commenls on Market Data: Extremely limited recent market data available for parcels similar to subject in the Fall Creek or competitive.marke!. areas around the Eugene-Springfield market area. A number of active, pending and sold properties were reviewed prior to selection of these three indicators; fndicators 1 and 2 are active listings; Indicator 1 on markel since 11-09-2005, previous old home removed, site logged and $\therefore$. Comments and Conditions of Appraisal: "ceceared, long narrow sloping acreage with limited view to north, older well, septic and road installed, appears to be a high indicator at the asking price. Indicator 2 is a narrow strip between Jasper-Lowell Road and Fall Creek with very limited building site. area listing Realtor notes $400^{\circ}$ of creek and road frontage; on market since 08-15-2005. Both Indicators 9 and 2 are in Lowell School District. like subject. Sale 3 is closer to metro area, in Pleasant Hill School District, is in $\times 5$ flood plain, was on market 53 days, sold as "ready to build" Final Reconciliation: The markel data (sale comparison) approach is the only reliable method of estimating vacant or non-residential site value The cost approach is omitted as there are no improvements on this hypothetical site. The income approach does not normally apply to vacant land. Note: adjustment for well/septic is made at $\$ 5,000$ for well, $\$ 10,000$ for sand filter septic system; land adjustment at $\$ 15,000$ per net acre I estimate the mafket value, as defimed, of subject phoperty as of $\qquad$ October 10, 2005. to be $\$ 100,000$
The opinion of value stated is within a range of indicated values. Value opinion is provided for comparison purposes in a Measure 37 proceeding only and only an actugl open market exposure test can pe considered to prove a rue market value.



## General subject area aerial view




## General subject area aerial view



Detail of subject aerial view, grey tax lot lines may not be correctly placed
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General subject area aerial view



[^0]:    The opinion of value stated is within a range of indicated values. Value opinion is provided for comparison purposes in a Measure 37 proceeding only and only an agtoal open market exposure test can be considered to prove a true markel value.

